UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,990	07/11/2005	Handoko Kohar	NL030012US1	7994
	7590 08/06/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		KEATON, SHERROD L		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/541,990		KOHAR ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Sherrod Kea		2175				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no even Il apply and will e cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION b., however, may a reply be tine expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 Ma	ov 2008						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 May 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
—		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
ا ال	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•	,					
		in the applic	ation						
•	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4:1/	-l#:						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election rec	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> acce	pted or b)□	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	rawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)		I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the RCE filing of 5-28-08. Claims 1, 3-10 are pending and have been considered below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandogan et al ("Kandogan", Elastic Windows) in view of Kuromusha et al ("Kuromusha", US 7028265 B2).

Claim 1: <u>Kandogan</u> discloses a method of rearranging non-overlapping views on a computer screen, the method comprising the steps of:

the computer receiving a rearrangement request from a user to collectively rearrange all views on the computer screen relative to an original arrangement of views (Page 34, Column 11, Paragraph 4),

Art Unit: 2175

the computer displaying the selected alternative arrangement on the screen with all the views retaining their original dimensions from the original arrangement of views (Page 32, Column 8); (Page 34, Column 11, Paragraph 4),

However Kandogan does not explicitly disclose that the computer is determining one or more possible alternative arrangements of all views in response to the rearrangement request and selecting one possible alternative arrangement for display and with each successive rearrangement the computer selecting for display one of another possible arrangement of all views or the original arrangement of views. However Kuromusha discloses a window display system and method for a computer system and further discloses the sub-windows being rearranged in reference to the main window and for each view rearrangement relative position is kept therefore providing alternate views (Column 3, Lines 48-58). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a rearrangement of views determined by the computer in Kandogan as taught by Kuromusha. One would have been motivated to provide arrangements to keep a relative position of importance between parent and children views.

Claim 4: <u>Kandogan and Kuromusha</u> disclose a method as claim in 1 above, and further disclose wherein the alternate arrangements at least one view retaining its original position from the original arrangements of views (Kuromusha: Column 4, Lines 1-5).

Here the no window movement would cause the sub-window to keep its original arrangement when the main window is moved

Claim 5: <u>Kandogan and Kuromusha</u> disclose a method as in claim 1 above, and further disclose wherein the step of receiving a rearrangement request is carried out by the user activating a software button on the screen (<u>Kandogan</u>: Page 34, Column 11, Paragraph 4).

Claim 6: <u>Kandogan and Kuromusha</u> disclose a method as in claim 1 above, wherein all possible alternative rearrangements of the views are determined as the first rearrangement request is received, these alternative rearrangements being stored and successively displayed whenever a rearrangement request is received (<u>Kandogan:</u> Page 34, Column 12, Paragraph 2).

Claim 8: Claim 8 is similar in scope to Claim1 and rejected with the same rationale. (Kandogan: Page 36, Column 16, Paragraph 2; Explains applications for window operations performed on devices that are claimed).

Claim 9: Claim 9 is similar in scope to Claim1 and rejected with the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,990 Page 5

Art Unit: 2175

Claim 10: <u>Kandogan and Kuromusha</u> disclose a record carrier, such as a CD-ROM, provided with a software product as claimed in 9. (It is inherent that some form of record carrier or memory component be in place in order to retain the software to perform the method.)

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandogan et al ("Kandogan " Elastic Windows) and Kuromusha et al ("Kuromusha" US 7028265 B2) as applied to Claim 1 above, and in further view of Zenith (7036083 B1).

Claim 3: Kandogan and Kuromusha disclose a method as claim in 1 above, but do not explicitly disclose that in an alternative arrangement, the positions of two views have been swapped relative to the original arrangement of views. However Zenith discloses a multimode interactive television chat and further discloses switching the modes, the modes include switching the z-order which deals with the modes position (Figure 6 and 7; Column 6, Lines 15-59). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to allow the views to switch in the modified Kandogan as taught by Zenith. One would have been motivated to switch focus because it improves the efficiency of the program by allowing the current screen being used to occupy the majority of the screen.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,990 Page 6

Art Unit: 2175

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kandogan et al ("Kandogan " Elastic Windows) and Kuromusha et al ("Kuromusha" US

7028265 B2)as applied to Claim 1 above, and in further view of Southgate (5561757).

Claim 7: Kandogan and Kuromusha disclose a method as claim in 1 above, but do not explicitly disclose wherein, when all possible alternative arrangements have been displayed, the original arrangement is displayed again, with a message stating that all possible arrangements have been displayed. However Southgate discloses a computer interface having tiled and overlapped window areas and further discloses a status window for displaying information to user (Column 6, Lines 4-14). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a status window to inform user of any valuable information pertinent to the user which could include information about alternative displayed arrangements in the modified Kandogan as taught by Southgate. One would have been motivated to have the status window because it is a simple way to inform a user with help options and also error alerts.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Per claim1, the applicants have amended and argued that Kuromusha allows overlapping of views and does not move all views. Examiner disagrees. Kurmosha clearly shows the functionality to keep views from overlapping if deemed necessary (Figure 11; e-1 through e-3 and f-3; Column 12, Lines 26-29 and Lines 45-52). Secondly examiner makes note that the applicant may have failed to appreciate a possible exemplary embodiment where the main and sub windows would consist of "all" the views. Therefore when the main window is moved and the sub-window is moved accordingly all the views presented are moved.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherrod Keaton whose telephone number is 571) 270-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. and alternating Fri. off (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Bashore can be reached on 571-272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,990 Page 8

Art Unit: 2175

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLK

7-28-08

/William L. Bashore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175